



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/598,379	08/25/2006	Craig B. Stolarczyk	9739-070	3341

20575 7590 02/01/2012
MARGER JOHNSON & MCCOLLOM, P.C.
210 SW MORRISON STREET, SUITE 400
PORTLAND, OR 97204

EXAMINER

ABRAHAM, AMJAD A

ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
----------	--------------

1744

NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
-------------------	---------------

02/01/2012

ELECTRONIC

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

docketing@techlaw.com

<i>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</i>	Application No. 10/598,379	Applicant(s) STOLARCZYK ET AL.	
	Examiner AMJAD ABRAHAM	Art Unit 1744	

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) AMJAD ABRAHAM. (3) ____.

(2) Jerome Marger. (4) ____.

Date of Interview: 23 January 2012.

Type: ☒ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference
 ☐ Personal [copy given to: ☐ applicant ☐ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: ☐ Yes ☐ No.
 If Yes, brief description: _____.

Issues Discussed ☐101 ☐112 ☐102 ☒103 ☐Others
 (For each of the checked box(es) above, please describe below the issue and detailed description of the discussion)

Claim(s) discussed: 1.

Identification of prior art discussed: Giorgini.

Substance of Interview
 (For each issue discussed, provide a detailed description and indicate if agreement was reached. Some topics may include: identification or clarification of a reference or a portion thereof, claim interpretation, proposed amendments, arguments of any applied references etc...)

Discussed whether or not Giorgini's composition would be sag resistant. Applicant stated that the Gel time is an indicator of the ability of the polyurethane to be self supporting (sag resistant). Examiner submitted that Giorgini's composition has the same gel time as applicant's material. Examiner suggested that if indeed the composition of Giorgini and that of the claim invention are different, applicant should amend the claim to better describe the inventive composition (additional materials or composition percentages). No agreement as to the claims were reached.

Applicant recordation instructions: It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of interview.

Examiner recordation instructions: Examiners must summarize the substance of any interview of record. A complete and proper recordation of the substance of an interview should include the items listed in MPEP 713.04 for complete and proper recordation including the identification of the general thrust of each argument or issue discussed, a general indication of any other pertinent matters discussed regarding patentability and the general results or outcome of the interview, to include an indication as to whether or not agreement was reached on the issues raised.

☐ Attachment

/AMJAD ABRAHAM/ Examiner, Art Unit 1744	
--	--